

Deep Probabilistic Generative Models

Exam 2021-2022

21 oct. 2021

Read everything before starting to answer questions. You can answer either in French or English.

Duration: 2 hours.

1 General questions [5 points + 2 bonus points]

1. In this course, what did we call generative models? Why is it different from (for example) classification problems in machine learning? [1 point]
2. What does latent mean in *latent random variables*? What does latent random variables mean? Why are they useful? (for example in a Gaussian Mixture Model) [2 point]
3. What is the difference between locally normalized models and implicit models in terms of generation? Is there any benefit from this perspective in one of the two families? Explain. [2 point]
4. **Bonus:** what is your favourite generative model that we studied in the course? Why? [2 point]

2 Locally normalized models [10 point]

Let $Z \in \{1\dots m\}$ and $X \in \mathbb{R}$ be the latent and observed random variables, respectively. We assume the following generative story:

$$(1) \quad z \sim p(Z; \boldsymbol{\pi})$$
$$(2) \quad x \sim p(X|Z = z; \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}^2)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\pi} \in \Delta^m$, $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^m$. We denote the set of parameters of the generative model $\boldsymbol{\theta} = \{\boldsymbol{\pi}, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}\}$. In the following, we will denote $p(Z; \boldsymbol{\theta})$ instead of $p(Z; \boldsymbol{\pi})$ as the corresponding parameters are unambiguous (and similarly for the second distribution). The prior probability distribution and the conditional probability density (PDF) function are defined as follows:

$$p(Z = z; \boldsymbol{\pi}) = \pi_z$$
$$p(X = x|Z = z; \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}^2) = \mathcal{N}(\mu_z, \sigma_z^2) \quad \text{that is the PDF of a Gaussian}$$

In other word, this model is a Gaussian Mixture Model. Let D be the training dataset. We assume a proposal distribution $q(Z|X; \boldsymbol{\phi})$ where $\boldsymbol{\phi} \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times m}$, that is $\forall x \in D, z \in \{1\dots m\} : q(Z = z|X = x; \boldsymbol{\phi}) = \phi_{x,z}$. Training aims to find the parameters $\boldsymbol{\theta}^*$ that maximizes the log-likelihood of the data:

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^* = \arg \max_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \frac{1}{|D|} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in D} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

where $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \log \sum_{z \in \{1\dots m\}} p(z; \boldsymbol{\theta}) p(x|z; \boldsymbol{\theta})$.

1. Is computing the log-likelihood tractable in this model? Could we use it directly to train the model? [1 point]
2. What constraints must be satisfied by $\boldsymbol{\phi}$? [1 point]
3. Derive the Evidence Lower Bound $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\phi})$ and explain each step. [1 point]
4. Explain the Expectation-Maximization algorithm. [2 point]

- Derive the closed-form expression of the computation of the Expectation step **using the KKT conditions** (note that you can focus on a single datapoint, why?) For this, it is easier to consider $p(Z, X; \theta)$ jointly and never "break" the generative distributions. Could you expect this result? Why? **[3 point]**
- Explain the difference in the EM training algorithm between GMMs, Sigmoid Belief Networks and Variational Auto-Encoders. **[2 point]**

3 Globally normalized models [10 points]

Let $Z \in \{0, 1\}^m$ and $X \in \{0, 1\}^n$ be the latent and observed random variables, respectively. We assume a globally normalized generative model, that is the joint distribution probability over random variables is defined as follows:

$$p(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x}; \theta) = \frac{\exp(w(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x}; \theta))}{\exp(c(\theta))} = \exp(w(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x}) - c(\theta))$$

where $c(\theta)$ is the log-partition function and we don't make any assumption on the structure of the function $w : Z \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for the moment.

- What is the formula of $c(\theta)$? What does this function achieve in the definition of the joint probability distribution? Is it easy to compute in the general case? **[2 point]**
- Derive and explain the Monte-Carlo estimation of the gradient for training this model. **[2 point]**
- In the course, we studied two Markov Chain Monte Carlo methods to sample from a generative model: Gibbs sampling and Metropolis–Hastings. What assumption we need to make on the generative model in order to be able to use them? **[2 point]**

In a Boltzmann Machine, the function w is defined as follows:

$$w(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x}; \theta) = \mathbf{a}^\top \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{b}^\top \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{z}^\top \mathbf{C} \mathbf{x} + \sum_{i \in \{1..m\}} \sum_{j \in \{1..m\}} D_{i,j} z_i z_j + \sum_{i \in \{1..n\}} \sum_{j \in \{1..n\}} E_{i,j} x_i x_j$$

where parameters are $\theta = \{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{E}\}$ with $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $\mathbf{D} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ and $\mathbf{E} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.

- What is the difference between Boltzmann Machines and Restricted Boltzmann Machines? **[1 point]**
- In Restricted Boltzmann Machines, we saw that one of the term in the objective was easy to compute thanks to a reformulation. Is it still the case? Why? **[1 point]**
- What does that change for the Gibbs sampling algorithm that we used to train Restricted Boltzmann Machines? **[2 point]**

4 Implicit models [5 points]

Let $Z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $X \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be the latent and observed random variables, respectively. The generative story of an implicit model is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{z} &\sim p(Z) \\ \mathbf{x} &= g(\mathbf{z}; \theta) \end{aligned}$$

During the course, we focused on normalizing flows, so the following questions concern normalizing flows.

- Why do we need the change of variable theorem? **[1 point]**
- What assumption do we need to make on function g ? **[1 point]**
- When we define the function g , what do we need to be careful about if we want training to be fast? Why? **[1 point]**
- In the course, we saw a trick several times: always keep a part of the input vector of g fixed in its output (or in the various normalizing flow layers that g contains). Explain two benefits of this. **[2 point]**

Cheat sheet

Consider the following optimization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathbf{v}} \quad & f(\mathbf{v}) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & g_i(\mathbf{v}) = 0 \quad \forall i \in \{1 \dots m\} \\ & h_i(\mathbf{v}) \leq 0 \quad \forall i \in \{1 \dots n\} \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^k$ are the variables, f the objective function and g_i and h_i the equality and inequality constraints, respectively. The Lagrangian of the problem is:

$$L(\mathbf{v}, \lambda, \mu) = f(\mathbf{v}) + \sum_{i \in \{1 \dots m\}} \theta_i g_i(\mathbf{v}) + \sum_{i \in \{1 \dots n\}} \mu_i h_i(\mathbf{v})$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ are dual variables associated with equalities and inequalities, respectively. The KKT optimality conditions are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(stationarity)} \quad & \forall i \in 1 \dots k : \frac{\partial}{\partial v_i} L(\mathbf{v}, \lambda, \mu) = 0 \\ \text{(primal feasibility)} \quad & \forall i \in 1 \dots m : g_i(\mathbf{v}) = 0 \\ & \forall i \in 1 \dots n : h_i(\mathbf{v}) \leq 0 \\ \text{(dual feasibility)} \quad & \forall i \in 1 \dots n : \mu_i \geq 0 \\ \text{(complementary slackness)} \quad & \sum_{i \in 1 \dots n} \mu_i h_i(\mathbf{v}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$